Previous references.
Ab 92-08

1908
(Courts)

File No. 274-08
Serial Nos. 1-7

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-7

Part B. for September 1909

SUBJECT.

Assistant Sessions Judge for the Shimoga Division.

Table of contents.

1 From the Registrar, chief court. No. J 4929/261 D. 17-2-09
Requests that Mr. D. M. Narasinga Rao, Sub Judge, Shimoga may be made an Asst Sessions Judge Chitaldroog, for the trial of a heavy dacoity case and that the Asst Sessions Judgeship may be made divisional - Mr. D. M. Narasinga Rao being Asst Sessions Judge for the Shimoga Division

2 To the Compiler, Mysore Gazette No. J 2461 D. 23-2-09.
G. R. S. 58 Notifies the investiture of Mr. D. M. Narasinga Rao with the powers of an Asst Sessions Judge, Chitaldroog for the trial of the said dacoity case

To the Registrar, chief court No J2464 & 23-2-1909

Forwards copy of the above with the intimation that the question of appointing the Sub Judge Shimoga an Asst Sessions Judge Shimoga Divisional is under consideration

3 To the Registrar chief court No J2978 & 7-4-09

Requests him to furnish statistics of civil and criminal work done during the past 5 years by the Dt and Sessions Court at Mysore & Shimoga and the late District and Sessions court, Bangalore

4 From the Registrar, chief court No 6425/334 & 14-5-09

Forwards the statement called for.

5 To the Registrar, chief court No J3741 & 2-6-09

Enquires whether the court of the Asst Sessions Judge is also to be a circuit court, if so whether it is desirable to have ~~two~~ both judges. ~~that~~

6 From the Same Officer No 6782/372 & 17-6-09

States that the Asst Sessions Judge should be ~~it~~ ignorant ~~and that~~ in the opinion of the Judges and that arrangements will be made so as to avoid the absence at one and the same time of both judges ~~of~~ from the Head Quarters

7 To the Registrar, chief ~~Con~~ court No. J7899 & 15-9-09

States that it is undesirable to divest the Subjudge with the powers of an Asst Sessions Judge for the whole Division

G.R.S. 11] 1908.09

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Courts -)

NOTES.

Cs File No. 274-08

FILE HEADING.

Assistant Sessions Judge, for the Shimoga Division

From

The Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore

No. 4929/261 Dated 17.2.09 Received 19.2.09

Diary No. 2112 Enclos. nil Spare Copies · Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests that Mr. D. M. Narasinga Rao, Subjudge Shimoga may be made an Assistant Sessions Judge, Chitaldrug for the trial of a heavy dacoity case and that the Assistant Sessions Judgeship may be made divisional, Mr. Narasinga Rao being posted Asst Sessions Judge for the Shimoga Division

NOTES AND ORDERS.

(1) It is the question of ~~sanctioning~~ the investing Mr. D. M. Narasinga Rao with the powers of an Assistant Sessions Judge, specially for the trial of a heavy dacoity case at Chitaldrug, which requires early

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-4-07.

Serial No.

orders. The case referred to above is expected to take up one full month, thus interfering with the original civil work of the District Judge. The above proposal is calculated to facilitate the disposal of his civil work which will otherwise have to be adjourned till June next. In August last Govt

(2) appointed Mr. D. M. Narasinga Rao Asst. as Special Sessions Judge for the trial of certain extradited persons as they had to be surrendered early to the British Authorities. The Special Public Prosecutor who had to be appointed was paid a fee of Rs 100/-. In the present case there appears to be no necessity for incurring ~~the~~ expenditure on account of advocate's fee. The District Judge being engaged with civil work, the Govt Public Prosecutor may perhaps be available to conduct the Sessions. The Chief Court is however silent on the point.

(2) With regard to the question of making the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

Serial No.

the Subjudge Shimoga. an Assistant Sessions Judge for the whole Division, the discussions on a previous reference on the subject, are Contained in Gb file 1492-08. (Vide paras. 7, 11 and 13.) Submitted for orders. No calls question was ordered to be disposed.

P.

10
15/4/09

3) (1). There can be no objection to appoint Mr Ramadasa Rau Asstt Sessions Judge for the particular Sessions Case now under reference. There is already precedent for such a Course.

4) (2) As regards the general question of making him an asstt Sessions Judge for the whole Division, the only difficulty appears to be about the appointment of Special public prosecutors, as the Public prosecutor may have to appear before the Sessions Judge.

5) If (1) is approved a Special prosecutor would be necessary if the Public prosecutor is not available. But this is not likely as the Chief Court letter says that the Dist Judge is likely to

GPB—50.000—18-5-06

Serial No.

be Engaged in Civil work.
19.2.09.

Secretary.

6) Putup draft order of Govt. appointing him A.S.J. for this Case. In order to say that question of appointing him as A.S.J. for the Dn will be further considered. Submit draft to Govt. on March 1st
19.2.09 19/2

7) Please call attention for the Crime No. & the names of the accused of the Case under reference.
20.2.09.

8) Draft D.O. submitted.
HCS 20/2/09
MO 20/2

9) Issue.
This should be issued today.
(Immediate)
20.2.09.

10) D.O. Letter No. 2437 & 20-2-1909.
D.O. from the Registrar forwarding a Telegram giving the case & names of offenders.
Submit with a draft notification.
9920 22.2.1909
HCS 22/2/09
MO 22/2
(1st Councillor). 22.2.09
11) Draft approved.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—5.000—18-5-08

5

G.R.S. 26]

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

No. J2437

Dy 20th Feb 09

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 190 .

To

Date of issue 20/2

Signed by _____

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 4729/261 dated the 17th February 1909, requesting that a Special Assistant Sessions Judge may be appointed for the trial of a heavy dacoity case Committed for March Sessions, I am desired to request you to be so good as to intimate the Crime number or the names of a few principal offenders in the said case. In favour of an early reply is requested

SUBJECT.

Yours Sincerely

Sd/-

V.A. Rajaratnam

Dy

ACS

20/2/09 - nox 20/2

J 2136. Bangalore
22. 2. 9.

Dear Mr. Hirayanagi,

Yours of the 20th. I enclose the telegram received this morning from the Secretary Justice Shimoza in the matter.

Yrs. Sincerely
[illegible]

7

C. 1. INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

NOTICE. This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.	Charges to pay. Rs.	As.	Office Stamp. BANGALORE G.P.O. 20 FE. 09

Handed in at	Office of Origin.	Hour.	Minute.	Service Instructions.
	Shimoga			20

TO Registrar Chief Court Bangalore

Crystallography [illegible] mineral [illegible] no. 16 of 1908-09 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

J2135

C File No. 274 of 1908-09

Serial No.

14) Issue. Submit to Dewan for info

22.2.09. SLL

22/2/09

2) To the Compiler, Mysore Gazette.

No. J. 2461 & 23.2.1909.

Notifies the investiture of Mr. D. M. Narasinga Rao, BA. BL. with the powers of an Assistant Sessions Judge for the Chitaldrug District for the trial of the said dacoity Case.

To the Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore

No. J. 2464 & 23.2.1909.

Forwards a copy of the above with the intimation that the question of appointing the Subjudge, Shimoga an Assistant Sessions Judge for the Shimoga Division is under Consideration

Mysore Gazette of 25th February 1909 Part I, page 82.

3) Submitted. The file has to be submitted to the Dewan for information.

(2) The question of appointing the Subjudge an Assistant Sessions Judge for the Shimoga Division has also to be considered

HCJ 24/2/09. 20/2/09

14) Submit the file to Dewan for information. Action on the formal question will be taken on the return of the file.

24.2.09. SLL

12052 24.2.09

15

8/3/09

SLL 9/3/09

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—18-5-05

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Cfo File No. 274 of 1908-09 -

9

Serial No.	
16	Subd for orders -
Accs 10/3/09 - B.L. 10-3-09	17) The general question of appointing Mr Narasinga Rao an assistant Sessions judge for Shimoga Division has now to be taken up. This has been recommended by the Chief Court (letter under disposal) as tending to the speedier disposal of civil work in the Shimoga District Court. 10/3/09. Secretary.
	18) The recommendation of the chief Court maybe accepted and ~~in Dis~~ ~~cases~~ the subjudge appointed Asst Sessions judge for the Ds of Chitaldrug and Kadur also. This will enable the Dt judge to do more civil work ~~th~~ than he is now able to do. Dewan through (1882)
10135 13.3.09	19) Please note comparative Statistics of work (Civil & Criminal) done in the Shimoga Court and there at Mysore & in the old days at Bangalore -
To Cs pm wanted 20/3/09 -	20) Submitted with a draft to Chief Court, calling for Statistics
	21) Issued

Cfo 12/3/09 18/3/09

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Accs 29.3.09

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

19/3/09 20/3/09 21/3 31/3/09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 274 of 1908.

10

Serial No.	
3	To. The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore. No. J 2978 dt 7.4.09 Requests him to furnish with Statistics of civil & criminal work done during the past five years by the Dt & Sessions Courts of Mysore & Shimoga & in the late Dt & Sessions court at Bangalore.
4	From The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore No. 6425/334 dt 14.5.09. Forwards the Statement called for.
2V	Subd. for orders. K.N. 15/5 LN 17/5/09
	23 Taking numbers alone, the work in Shimoga Div^{n} is not more than that in Mysore. Both very frequently, heavy dacoity Cases come up for trial in the former division, dislocating and delaying the disposal of Civil work. (Rote. These Statistics were called for by the late 1st C.J.). 17/5. Sdt.
495)	3rd Councillor). If the Asstt Sess Judge is to try cases of other districts, is his Court also to be a Cir-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

Cant Court. If so, it is quite undesirable to have ~~to~~ both the Judges itinerant.

18/5/09

Please draft a letter to the Registrar Chief Court to make clear the above point.

19/5. SM

Cto 92-08
Cto 2-09

The reference files required by the Assistant Secretary to be put up have not yet been received back from the Dewan. As far as I can remember the above information is not available in this office and may therefore be obtained from the Chief Court. Draft submitted for approval or for other orders.

JRCS 28/5/09 SW/28/5

27 Issue SM 28/5

6/6/09

5 To the Registrar Chief Court.

No 3741 & 2-6-1909.

Enquires whether the Court of

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

– the Assistant Sessions Judge is also to be a Circuit Court. If so, whether it is ~~not~~ desirable to have both Judges itinerant.

6 From the Same officer, D 6782/372 S 17.6.09.

States that in the opinion of the Judges, the Assistant Sessions Judge should be itinerant and that arrangements will be made so as to avoid the absence, at one and the same time, of both Judges from the Head Quarters.

28 Submitted for orders.

Hes CN
21.6.09.

29 Submitted to 2nd Councillor who called for the information.

929)

21.6.09 Sd

30 Please put up the order directing that Sess Cases in Mysore & Shimoga Dns shd be tried in the districts in which they arise.

23/6/09

CS 24/6

24/6/09 Sd

P. J. O.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

2C T

31 In G.O. N° J859-60 & 13.12.1902, the System of holding Circuit Sessions was revived in all the Sessions Divisions. But owing to the abolition of the District and Sessions Court at Bangalore, the Circuit System of Sessions was ordered to be discontinued in the Bangalore Division only, in

2C Y.

G.P. N° J140-0-06-Ch 103.06-3 & 27.11.06. The said System however still prevails in the other two Divisions. Subs for orders -

Hcs 29.8.09 SW 30/8/09

30/8/09 SD

1059)

2nd (cr).

3rd I do not think that the proposal to make the Sub. Judge also an itinerant Officer for Sess. Work is good. The Civil work of his Court also will suffer. He may take the bulk of the Sess. Work of the Shimoga District and relieve the Sess. Judge considerably. I am therefore not

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

Serial No.

in favour of the proposal to make the Asstt Sess. Judge are for the whole division, unless he can ~~make~~ modify the order of 13 Dec 1902 (Mark J.) so as to allow the Asstt Sess. Judge to try cases of other districts also (which may be referred to him only exceptionally) in Chittagong. On this point, the Hh. Court may again be consulted.

30/6/09

14444
2.6.09
Dewan.
~~14444~~ 33
To Council
3 July 09

dm
1/7
SH
1/7/09

34
circulate
dm
5/7/09
SH
5/7/09

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

47
7.7.09

I am not in favor of the proposal to appoint Mr D M Narasinga Rao as Asstt Sessions Judge of the Shimoga Division. The question may be discussed at a Council meeting.

RRM
7/7/09

If approved the matter will be brought up at a meeting.

1520
7.7.09

Dewan,
13/7/09

CRG
7/7/09

3/ Include in list of Subjects
16/7/09

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

2112/21/8/09

16

Extract from the Proceedings of the 236th Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the 16th August 1909.

II. Subject. (clause VIII of Schedule)

Recommendation of the Chief Court to appoint the Subordinate Judge of Shimoga, who is an Assistant Sessions Judge for the Shimoga District, to be an Assistant Sessions Judge for the whole of the Division.

OPINION.

29 The Council is unable to accept the above recommendation. In the first place there is no real necessity for extending the Assistant Sessions Judge's jurisdiction, as it is admitted that " the occasions on which the Assistant "Sessions Judge will have to be deputed to do sessions work "in Kadur and Chitaldrug will be few and far between, three "such occasions only having arisen during the last three "years." In the next place the Council considers it undesirable on principle that the Assistant Sessions Judge should be sent out on circuit work in place of the Sessions Judge of the Division.

Paper under circuit.

Sd/- T. Ananda Row.
Dewan of Mysore.

"Extract"

M Chandrasekhara
Secretary to Government.

GPB—300—29-4-09

17

Submitted to His Highness.

1. The Chief Court proposes that Mr. D.M. Narasinga Rao, Sub-Judge and Assistant Sessions Judge of Shimoga be gazetted as Assistant Sessions Judge of the Shimoga Division in order that he may try sessions cases arising in the Kadur and Chitaldrug Districts also. The Chief Court is of opinion that such appointment will give the Shimoga District Judge a freer hand and will tend to the speedy disposal of his Civil work.

2. On an examination of statistics for the 5 years ending 1907-08 relating to Civil and Criminal work done in the Sessions Divisions of the State, it does not appear that the work in Shimoga is heavier than that in Mysore.

3. The Council is of opinion that it is undesirable to invest the Sub-Judge with the powers of an Assistant Sessions Judge for the whole of the Shimoga Division and the recommendation of the Council in the accompanying Resolution may be approved.

T. Ananda Rau
Dewan

18

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Recommendation of the Chief Court to appoint the Subordinate Judge of Shimoga who is an assistant Sessions Judge for the Shimoga District, to be an Assistant Sessions Judge for the whole of the Division.

Order.

I agree with the Dewan and Council

KRW
26/8/09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

/

Gb. File No. 274 of 1908-9

19

Serial No.	
	Submitted with a draft order. Hes W 4.9.09.
	7/9/09 SH
	Draft. appd by the 2nd C.r CSH 9/9/09
	Issued SH 7/9/09
	Rec 22-9-09
7	To the Registrar, Chief Court. No J 789 & 15.9.09. States that it is undesirable to invest the Sub-Judge with the powers of an Assistant Sessions Judge, for the whole Division.

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Urgent

Bangalore dated, 17th February 1909.

From,

V.A.Rajaratna Mudaliar Esq.,

Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

J 2112.

To,

C.S.Balasundaram Aiyar Esq.,

Offg: Secretary to Government,

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I am directed to request that Mr.D.M.Narasinga Rao, Subordinate Judge of Shimoga, may be invested specially with the powers of an Assistant Sessions Judge for the Chitaldrug District for the trial of a heavy dacoity case committed for March Sessions. The District and Sessions Judge of Shimoga represents that the trial will occupy about three weeks since there are 13 accused, 58 witnesses for the prosecution & 36 for the defence and that with judgment and journey the whole of the month will be lost for Civil work. He adds that he is in the middle of several Original suits which are just beginning to make progress and that if he has to be away all March these will have to be adjourned till June as in April there will be the Chickmagalur Sessions prior to the vacation.

I am also to reiterate the recommendation made in this Office letter No.2224/110 dated 30th September 1908 that the Assistant Sessions Judgeship be made divisional, Mr. Narasinga Rao being gazetted Assistant Sessions Judge for the Shimoga Division. This will give the Shimoga District Judge a freer hand and will tend to the speedy disposal of his Civil work.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B.V.

REGISTRAR.

A 2

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 274/08

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 190 .

Date of issue 14/2

Signed by Mr. C.S. Balasundaram

Mysore Gazette dt 26 February 09 Part I page 82.

Notification No. J2461

Dated 23rd Feb 09

To

Under Section 9 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1904, Mr. D. M. Narasinga Rao B.A.B.L., Subordinate Judge of Shimoga is invested, specially with the powers of an Assistant Sessions Judge for the Chitaldrug District, for the trial of Basappa, ~~Hamma~~ and others accused of having Committed dacoity in Criminal Case No. 16 of 1908-09 on the file of the Treasury Assistant Commissioner and Magistrate, Chitaldrug. District.

over.

22 Feb 09

SUBJECT.

By order,

for Secretary

To 9

The Compiler Mysore

Gazette for publication 3
with a Kannada
translation

2

Memo No: 2464 dt 23rd Feb-09.

Copy to the Registrar
of the Chief Court of Mysore
with reference to his letter
No 4929/267 dated the 17th
February 1909 with
the intimation that the
proposal portion of
making the Assistant
Sessions Judgeship a
Division Court is under
the Consideration of Govt.

By order

Sd
for Secretary

appointing the Subordinate Judge of Shimoga as Asstt Sessions Judge for the Shimoga Division

List of enclosures.

DJC
MCS

2

Copied by 22/2/09

Examined by

MGCPB—30,000—16-2-05

4

G.R.S. 26]

Cb. File No. 274/08

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. J2978

8.4.09

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 190 .

To The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore

Date of issue 7-4-09

Signed by [illegible]

Re

With reference to the recommendation of the Chief Court contained in para 2 of his letter No. B 4927 dt 17.2.09 appointing the 2nd Subordinate Judge of Shimoga an Assistant Sessions Judge of Shimoga Division, has the honor to request him to be so good as to furnish Govt with statistics of the Civil and Criminal work done in during the last 5 years by the District and Sessions Judges at

over.

SUBJECT.

Shimoga and Mysore and
in the late District and
Sessions Court at Bangalore
~~[illegible]~~
prior to its abolition.

By order

Sd
for Secretary

NCS
3/15

Dft HCG
29.3.09

List of enclosures. Nil

Copied by MGC 1.5

Examined by SW
FJW/SE

MGCPB—30,000—16-2-05

No 6425 Encl 6 J 2918
334

Bangalore dated, 14th May 1909.

From,

V.A.Rajaratna Mudaliar Esq.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To,

K.S.Chandrasekhara Aiyar Esq.,
Secretary to Government,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to your No.J.2978/Cts.274-08-3 dated 7th: ultimo, I am directed to forward herewith a statement showing the Civil and Criminal work done during the past five years in the District and Sessions Court at Mysore and Shimoga and in the late District and Sessions Court Bangalore Division prior to its abolition.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant;

REGISTRAR.

B.V.

Statement showing the civil and Criminal work done in the District and Sessions Courts at Mysore and Shimoga and in the late District & Sessions Court, Bangalore &c.

Years	No. of civil cases disposed of					No. of Criminal cases disposed of			Remarks
	Original	Execution	Miscellaneous	Regular appeals	Misc. appeals	Sessions Cases	appeals	Misc. Cases	
	In the District and Sessions Court, Mysore &c:								
1903-04.	28	41	619	127	59	41	71	56	
1904-05	29	41	444	58	35	39	61	56	
1905-06	20	43	481	92	53	46	72	88	
1906-07.	11	85	523	197	108	25	65	89	
1907-08	7	67	269	220	51	30	81	34	
	In the District and Sessions Court, Shimoga &c:								
1903-04.	24	54	380	260	45	32	67	13	
1904-05	15	58	322	219	41	31	64	15	
1905-06	7	31	247	99	11	31	97	21	

1906 - 07	6	26	139	48	11	26	68	21	
1907 - 08	27	27	155	63	16	29	45	21	
	In the late District & Sessions Court, Bangalore:								
1902 - 03.	2	9	162	16	"	30	"	"	From 1st July to 30 June 1903
1903 - 04.	4	26	463	72	"	57	"	"	
1904 - 05	7	32	256	47	"	57	1	"	
1905 - 06.	7	22	134	1	6	67	"	"	
1906 - 07.	6	33	94	52	18	91	"	"	

Chief Court of Mysore -
Bangalore. 14th May 1909

Registrar

8 ✓

G.R.S. 26]

Cfs. **File No.** 274/087

Serial No. 5 —

Diary No. of letter replied to 2117

No. J 3741
8/6/09

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 190 .

To The Registrar Chief Court of Mysore.

Date of issue 2-6-09

Signed by Mr S Hiriyannaiya

Correspondence ending with his letter No 6425/334 dt 14th May 1909 in the matter of the appointing of the Subordinate Judge of Shimoga as Assistant Sessions Judge of the Shimoga Division.

Adverting to the above has the honor to enquire whether the Court of the Assistant Sessions Judge is ~~not~~ also to be a Circuit Court for the

over.

SUBJECT.

31/5

purpose of trying Cases
in the Kadon and Chital
Hunting Districts and if
so, whether ~~the Sessions~~
~~of the District Court~~
desirable ~~that both~~, it is
desirable in the opinion
of the Chief Court to
have both the Judges
itinerant.

By order

for Secretary

SN
25/5

List of enclosures •

2 C
Circs

25/5/09 –
SN/JN

Copied by

Examined by

MGCPB—30,000—16-2-05

III 4 1906 UB 1906 J 3232

From The Registrar
Celtic Court.

To The Secretary to Govt.
Legal & Parl. Depts.

Dated 17 June 1906.

Despatched 18 6 06

Received

General No. 6782

No. 372

Enclosure No.

With reference to the Co.
J. 3741 of 2nd Inst.
Ch. 274-05-5
I later that the Judges
are of opinion that
the Assist. Resp. Judge
of Skinness should
be itinerant &
that the Resident work
of the Division will
be so adjusted as to
avoid the absence
at one & the same
time, both the Judges
from the Hd Qrs.

No. 6782/372

10

Bangalore,

17th June 1909.

18

From

The Registrar,

Chief Court of Mysore, Bangalore.

To

The Officiating Secretary to the Government of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your No.J3741/Cts.274-08-5 dated 2nd Instant, enquiring whether the Court of the Assistant Sessions Judge, Shimoga, is to be a circuit Court and asking for the opinion of the Chief Court as to whether it would be desirable to have both the Sessions and Assistant Sessions Judges itinerant, Iam directed to state that the Judges are of opinion that the Assistant Sessions Judge should be itinerant. I am to add that the Sessions work of the Division will be so adjusted as to avoid the absence, at one and the same time, of both the Judges from the Head Quarters. In the opinion of the Sessions Judge, the occasions on which the Assistant Sessions Judge will have to be deputed to do Sessions work in Kadur and Chitaldrug will be few and far between, three such occasions only having arisen during the last three years.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant,

Registrar.

mlw

Ref 10-9-09 1 ab 11

G.R.S. 28]

Cs File No. 274-08

Serial No. 7.

Diary No. of letter replied to Y 3232

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, *the* 190

Date of issue 15-9-09

Signed by Srinivasaraja

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 6782/372 dated the 17th June 1909, from the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore, recommending that ~~regarding the recommendation of the~~

ORDER THEREON. ~~No.~~

~~Chief Court to appointment of~~ the Subordinate Judge of Shimoga ~~may be invested with the powers of an~~ to be Assistant Sessions Judge for the whole of the Shimoga Division.

Order thereon No. J 789 dt 15-9-09.

The Govt are of opinion

over

SUBJECT

GPB—6,000—13-5-08

Sor 14/9

that it is undesirable 12

to invest the Sub-Judge of

Shimoga with the powers

of an Assistant Sessions Judge throughout the Shimoga Division as recommended by the Chief Court.

Secretary

9/9/09

To.

The Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore

4/5/09

dft

4-9-09